





# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY	
09/892,095	0//0//000		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
05/052,053	06/26/2001	David Daniel Rankin SR.	43904/205179	9910
826 7	590 12/19/2002			
ALSTON & BIRD LLP				
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA			EXAMINER	
101 SOUTH T CHARLOTTE	RYON STREET, SUIT , NC 28280-4000	E 4000	CHEN, BRET P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/892,095

Applicant(s)

David Daniel Rankin

Examiner

**Bret Chen** 

Art Unit 1762

The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
mailing date of this communication.	a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with 1 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will at Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, care.</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. use the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133)			
Status				
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep 6</u> ,	. 2002			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is a parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>12-15</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)  Claim(s)				
	is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s)				
_	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/	/are a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.			
	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) $\square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents in				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bi	y documents have been received in this National Stage ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes				
a) The translation of the foreign language provision				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) There is a second of the se			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			
	o, Gue.			

Art Unit: 1762

1

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-15 are pending in this application.

#### Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-11 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 12-15 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a nonelected invention.

### Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

Application/Control Number: 09/892095

Art Unit: 1762

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

It is noted that the claimed invention is directed solely to a method. The examiner suggests amending the abstract to reflect same.

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

It is noted that the claimed invention is directed solely to a title. The examiner suggests amending the title to reflect same.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4, the term "the adhering the hard layer" lacks antecedent basis and/or is confusing.

In claim 6, the term "rapid succession" is vague and indefinite and is deemed a relative term. The term "rapid" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard

Art Unit: 1762

for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

LaFlamme (5,957,755) alone or when taken in view of Randall (5,024,563). LaFlamme

discloses a method of manufacturing an insert by applying a thin film coatings to the surface of a

coating insert wherein the coating can be titanium aluminum nitride and/or tungsten carbide with

the expressed purpose of improving performance and durability (col.1 lines 43-55). However, the

reference remains silent on cutting wood to produce workpieces.

It is noted that the reference clearly teaches a cutting insert but remains silent on what can be cut. The skilled artisan would reasonably expect that any surface could be treated by the cutting insert and hence, would have been obvious to utilize a wooden surface depending on the desired use of the final product.

Application/Control Number: 09/892095

Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

Regardless, Randall discloses a method of cutting wood or other materials using tools including tungsten carbide (col.1 lines 5-43). It is noted that Randall specifically teaches that the treated material can be wood. One skilled in the art would know that Randall clearly teaches that wood can be cut by a cutting tool. Given this teaching, it would have been obvious to utilize LaFlamme's process to cut wood with the expectation of similar results.

The limitations of claims 2-11 have been addressed above.

Dischler (6,032,372), Umber et al. (5,782,836), and Wexler (5,630,275) have been provided for additional information.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bret Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck, can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310. Amendment After Finals should be faxed to (703) 872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

bc

December 16, 2002

BRET CHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER